

BISHOP PERRIN Church of England Primary School

Meeting of the Board of Governors 7th February 2023 held in School And via Google meet 6.00pm MINUTES

Governor Attendees:

Fr David Cloake	(FrDC)
Wahida Alladin	(WA)
Adrian Corke	(AC)
Kerry Doggett	(KD)
Fr John Kafwanka	(FrJK)
Lucy James	(LJ)
Russell Nimmo	(RN)
Rachael Macklearn	(RM)
Harsha McArdle	(HM)
Satty Panesar	(SP)
Alwyn Williams	(AW)

Chair

Head Teacher Online Online

Co Vice-Chair Deputy HT, Associate

Associate Online Co Vice-Chair

Non-governor attendees Helen Bott (HB)

Helen Bott Sarah Asher Clerk Observer

Apologies:

Ankur Pruthi (AP)

Absent without apologies:

None

	ITEM	ACTION
1.	OPENING PRAYER	
	The meeting opened at 6.00pm with a prayer led by FrDC	
	WELCOME TO GOVERNORS	
	FrDC welcomed everyone to the meeting, welcoming Sarah	
	Asher to the meeting as an observer, observing the Clerk.	
	APOLOGIES & DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
	Apologies were received from AP	
	There were declarations of interest	
2.	OFSTED DISCUSSION	
	The Chair lead a discussion on the Governors being ready for	
	an Ofsted in inspection.	
	The Chair asked RM how can the Governors help teachers to	
	prepare?	
	RM explained that she would like Governors to ask teachers	
	challenging questions regarding the curriculum, asking how	
	they know if what they are doing is covering all areas on the	

		If they don't know, that			
	areas that they need to improve on going forward.				ALL
	LJ suggested everyone to use the helpful questions that can				
	be found on <i>Governor Hub Knowledge</i> (formally know as <i>The</i>				
	Key) Here is the link: <u>Knowledge – Inspection Before, during &</u> <u>after</u>			ALL	
	The Head also reminded Governors to look at the SIP reports and look at the areas the SIP has mentioned in their report and			ALL	
	ask those questions when attending Link Visits.				
	The Head o	xplained that the schoo	l aro planning	to have a	
		with AfC on Wednesda			
	Ų	d to attend part of that			
		nd will arrange to meet to discuss what will be		CAVOY	FRJK
		vere pleased to hear the gh have recently retaine			
		gri nave recently retain		anding status.	
		also found the learning i	review that too	ok place last	
	year very he	elpful with the SIP.			
		that her school had red			
		ound the new format a eemed to be on attenda			
	Governors who have attended <i>Being Ready for Ofsted</i> training				
	sessions, found that Ofsted still has Safeguarding high on its agenda.				
	Governors asked that when the school do get 'The Call' from				
	Ofsted that is would be really helpful if all the Governors can				
	be told as ea	arly as possible, so the	y get together	before the	
	inspection and have a quick briefing on who can answer what questions if asked.			AC	
3.	GOVERNING BODY ITEMS				
	• Minu	utes & Matters Arising	I		
	LJ proposed	and WA seconded: all	governors ag		
	Minutes were a true and accurate record of the meeting held on 7 th December 2022. FrDC signed the minutes at the				
	meeting.				
	There was one spelling correction to the minutes under:				
	Item 2, second paragraph after the action grid, it should readend of the <u>Spring</u> Term 2023 and not Sprint term.				
	Actions updated from the last meeting held on 7 th December 2022				
	Minute	Action	Assigned	Update	
	01.11.22	Staff Governor	FrDC /	Ongoing	
	2	<u>vacancy</u> – revisit advertising in	HB		
		Spring Term 2023			
	7.12.22	Receive <u>future</u>	All Govs /	Ongoing	
	2	absence dates	HB		
		from Governors			

				1	
		and re-consider meeting date scheduled for October 2023			
	01.11.22 3	Statutory Policies FrDC and HB to finalise final date allocation	FrDC / HB	To do	
	7.12.22 3	Policies to be amended as discussed and final copies to be signed	AC / DC	Done	
	7.12.22 3	The following policies will be presented at future FGBs Teachers' Pay -		To follow	
		tbc Admissions – March 2023		To follow	
		<u>Data Retention</u> – March 2023 <u>Premises</u>		To follow	
	7.12.22	Management Docs – March 2023	FrDC /	Done	
	7.12.22	Parent Questionnaire – governors to discuss its future and format	HB	Ongoing	
1 -	 Gov Training Updates The Clerk thanked everyone who has attended trainings over the last few months. She explained if anyone is having any problems with booking on trainings, please let her know and she will do her best to sort it out for you. There was discussion regarding how up to date Governors 				
t	DBS checks were and when they last did their Safeguarding training. HB will check which Governors need to renew which trainings and also speak with the Business Manager regarding the DBS checks.			НВ	
	 Training attended by Governors since our last meeting in December: RN - Is Your Governance Ready for Ofsted?- Better Governor. Primary Curriculum: An Overview for Governors (AfC online) HB – Clerks Termly Briefing 				
	May has bee planned date Also, the me	formed the meeting that formed the meeting that en moved to Tuesday 1 e of 18 th as that is Acce teting on 5 th July, FrDC h. RN & AW agreed to c	6 th May inste ssion Day. will not be ab	ead of the	AII RN/AW

	The Clerk informed that the Data Impact meeting that was to be held on 26 th January had to be cancelled at short notice. It was agreed by The Head and Chair of the Data Impact group to re arrange a meeting ready for after the Easter holidays.	НВ
4		
4.	 STATUORY POLICIES & TASKS Attendance The draft policy was circulated to Governors prior to the meeting The Head explained that attendance at the school is very good overall, and they have regular meetings with EWO who looks at the school's overall attendance. A suggestion was for the school to look at giving parents fix penalty fines of £60 each (which goes to the LA and not the school) if they chose to take the child out for unauthorised absences like going on holidays. The Head felt that they haven't needed or wanted to do this as they want to keep an honest and good relationship with parents, however they also don't want some families to take advantage of the fact they don't do this. So, in turn the policy 	
	has been updated to reflect this. Governors asked what is the impact on a child's education if they are taken out for school for 2 weeks every year? The Head said it is significant impact for the child and also to the teacher's workload as if it is at the end of term as the teacher then has to catch up the next term with the child's assessments.	
	Governors suggested that the school make the impact on a child's education clear to parents and that the school can't provide extra work for them while the child is away. The Chair suggested the school make it clear children taking time off at the end of term can affect their academic assessments. A list could be held within the school for each family who takes their child out for unauthorised absences, so it can be tracked back.	
	The Chair proposed that the policy read that: <i>Bishop Perrin</i> <i>Primary School reserves the right to applying a fix penalty</i> <i>notices to parents for children taken out of school for</i> <i>unauthorised absences.</i> HM seconded the motion, and all Governors were in favour.	
	Action: AC to make the changes to the draft and for then the draft to be signed off by The Chair once updated.	AC
	• Extra-Curricular Clubs The draft policy was circulated to Governors prior to the meeting.	
	Governors asked if the ECCC could be named within the document for the start. Action: To name ECCC within the policy.	AC
	The Governor thanked The Head and his team for the handling	

	the safeguarding concerns swiftly regarding the former extra- curricular club in question and for raising it with the Governors.	
	• Premises Management Handbook The policy was circulated to Governors prior to the meeting. LJ proposed, RN seconded and all were in favour of the Premises Management Handbook. FrDC signed the document at the meeting.	
	Policies to follow <u>Teachers' Pay</u> - tbc <u>Admissions</u> - tbc <u>Data Retention</u> - March 2023	
5.	 GOVERNOR VISIT REPORTS Written reports were received prior the meeting from LJ Safeguarding Report PE Report The Chair thanked LJ for the reports. 	
6.	LEADERSHIP & MANAGEMENT	
0.	 HT Report The Headteachers' Report was circulated prior to the meeting. Governors said it was good to see progress in the report, however wondered if The Head could give some indication of 	
	the sections that were overdue but is still in progress and how far along, they were? The Head explained that it is quite hard to show that in the report but was happy to answer any questions on areas of	
	interest. RM explained that the website for example has been started that they hope for it to ne launched soon however the website company have not returned messages and have now missed their deadlines, so it out of the schools' hands.	
	The Chair asked if the company has not completed its contract, should a discount be requested? Is this something the Business Manager could ask for?	SLT
	The Head highlighted that Governors own tasks need to be completed. The Chair agreed to take this to the Strategic Group to be reviewed.	Str Grp
	Governors asked if the Swimming Gala plans for the next academic year are in place already?	
	The Head assured Governors that plans are in place for the next academic year so the children will be able to take part again.	
	The Chair thanked The Head for the report.	
7.	ADMISSIONS	
	Governors prior to the meeting.	

WA reported to the FGB that the consultation period for the 2024 Admissions Policy was now over and that they had only one written concern. This process needs to happen at least every 7 years. The Admissions Panel had met, and the next stage was for the Criteria to be agreed by the FGB.

The main change to the policy is that there will be a maximum of 7 places for each of the two churches, St Augustine of Canterbury and Ss Philip & James, Whitton. Therefore, having a maximum total of 14 Foundation (Church supported) places on offer. If those places are not taken, they will then be filled by members of the community on distance places.

The reasons for this change were to help the school serve local families within the community and help the staff with administration of applications.

Governors had some questions around how the 14 places were going to be distributed and they felt it wasn't clear. It was agreed that the wording needed to be clear to say something along the line of:

....those remaining places will be allocated to applicants of the other church <u>(either St Augustine of Canterbury or Ss Philip & James, Whitton)</u> up to but not excessing the total of fourteen. <u>If those places are not fulfilled they will then be distributed by distance to the school.</u>

The Head informed the meeting that he had had some time to reflect on the proposed changes and he had some concerns. Those being local Christian families would not be able to necessarily get their children into the school unless they attend either of the two CofE churches. This would then mean that a family who had been associated with another local church, maybe for many years, other than St Augustine's or St P & St J, would be faced with the decision of having to move their place of Worship to secure a place at Bishop Perrin School by taking up a Foundation Place via St Augustine's and St P & St J. In addition to this, he mentioned that some Catholic families, who attend St Edmund's Church, and who live very close to Bishop Perrin School, would not be able to apply for a Foundation Place as they would be excluded by not attending either St Augustine's or St P & St J. By naming just two churches that families must attend in order to secure a Foundation Place makes the application process non-inclusive He also had concerns that if there were less children from Christian families within the school, then the Christian ethos of the school would be put into question. Lastly having spoken with staff at the school, they were unaware of the consultation taking place and said they would have wanted to have contributed their thoughts and opinions if they had been made aware.

Some Governors did feel that not allowing other Christian dominations to apply, may be an issue.

Governors did not feel that by having less children from Christian backgrounds would not affect the ethos of the school as the school is open to everyone and goes back to its original purpose when first opened, which was to educate the children

the school.	
Governors questioned why staff felt that they had not be consulted on this, as it had been sent around to the local parishes and was in the school newsletter.	
After much discussion The Chair noted that there were many lessons learnt form this process and it would be good to keep a note of the areas of concern, so this doesn't happen again in the further. He also noted that it can go out for consultation again for another academic year before the 7yr cycle is up if the Governing Board feel it needs too.	FrDC
 The areas of concern were: Was the consultation at fault and if so, where? Who, how and where should the consultation have been sent out within the school/community for all to see? 	
 Why did teachers feel they had been missed during the consultation period? 	
FrDC agreed to look in that process of the recent consultation and see if it was indeed at fault.	
AW and RN both agreed that they felt the consultation was done properly, so put the proposed policy to vote. RN proposed to the Board that the proposed Admission 2024 policy, with one the clarification along the lines of: those remaining places will be allocated to applicants of the other church (either St Augustine of Canterbury or Ss Philip & James, Whitton) up to but not excessing the total of fourteen. If those places are not fulfilled they will then be distributed by distance to the school. RN asked for a vote for all in favour of the Policy. 5 in favour, 2 not in favour and 2 abstained.	
<i>Post-Meeting Note from the Chair</i> Following comments made by a number of parties, the Chair and vice-Chairs considered the validity of the Consultation. Whilst lessons need to be learned, and a wider consultation model considered, the presence of the Consultation on the school website meant that it was sufficiently available to all stakeholders. They concluded that a further meeting of the Admissions Panel would be helpful to consign this process to the knowledge-base on Governor Hub. The Consultation will, therefore, pass to its next stage in the process in its form published in the original consultation, with opportunity for governors to give consideration to its form in future years.	
<i>HT response to post-meeting note</i> The Head had informed the Chair of Admissions and Fr D via an email that notification of the consultation had not gone in the school newsletter as he had originally reported at the FGB meeting, so neither parents, or staff who read the school newsletter had any knowledge of the proposed changes to the admissions criteria. The Head proposed that in light of two key stakeholders (parents and staff) within the school community not being formally notified of the consultation that the	

r		
	consultation be put back out as there was time before the deadline in May for it to be finalised.	
	• Minutes of last meeting The Minutes of the meeting held on 30.01.23 will be presented at the next FGB.	НВ
0		
8.	 FINANCE Minutes of last meeting The Minutes of the meeting held on 23.01.23 will be presented at the next FGB. 	НВ
9.	AOB No items were received	
10.	CONFIDENTIALITY Governors agreed that the only item that was confidential was the discussion around the Attendance policy and families potentially wanting to take their children out of school for holidays.	
	NEWSLETTER HIGHLIGHT	
	Governors met for a Full Governing Board meeting on Tuesday 7 th February.	
	The Governors discussed its ongoing preparations for readiness for an Ofsted inspection.	
	They were delighted to hear about the recent visits by Years 5 & 6 pupils to Ss Philip & James Church.	
	Governors were also please pleased to hear such positive feedback from the Safeguarding Link Governor, on the school's processes that are in place.	
	The meeting concluded at 8.30pm with prayers led by FrDC	

Signed

Date

Full GB Actions As of 7th February 2023

Minute	Action	Assigned	Update
01.11.22	Staff Governor vacancy – revisit	FrDC / HB	Ongoing
Item 2	advertising in Spring Term 2023		
7.12.22	Receive future absence dates	All Govs /	Ongoing
Item 2	from Governors and re-consider	HB	
	meeting date scheduled for		
	October 2023		
01.11.22	Statutory Policies	FrDC / HB	To do
Item 3	FrDC and HB to finalise final		
	date allocation		
7.12.22	The following policies will be		To follow

Item 3	presented at future FGBs		
	<u>Teachers' Pay</u> - tbc		
	Admissions – March 2023		
7 40 00	Data Retention – March 2023		
7.12.22	Parent Questionnaire –	FrDC / HB	Ongoing
	governors to discuss its future		
	and format		
7.02.23	Ofsted readiness	ALL	
Item 2	Ask teachers challenging questions		
	regarding the curriculum.		
	Use the helpful questions that can		
	be found on Governor Hub		
	Knowledge (<u>Knowledge –</u>		
	Inspection Before, during & after		
	Governors to look at the SIP		
7 00 00	reports.		
7.02.23	SEN Link to contact SENCO	FrJK	
Item 2	regarding AfC review day in		
	March		
7.02.23	The Head to inform Governors	AC	
Item 2	early of an Ofsted inspection		
7.02.23	New date for May meeting is	ALL	
Item 3	Tuesday 16 th May		
7.02.23	RN/AW will Chair the FGB on 5th	RN/AW	
Item 3	July/	-	
7.02.23	Data Impact meeting will be	НВ	
Item 3	after Easter. Clerk to arrange		
	new date.		
7.02.23	Attendance Policy	AC	
Item 4	Changes to wording and final		
7 02 22	draft to be sent over for sign off.	A.C.	
7.02.23	Extra- Curricular Policy	AC	
Item 4	Changes to wording as		
7 00 00	suggested.	01 T	
7.02.23	Request discount re website	SLT	
Item 6			
7.02.23	SDP – Governor tasks	Str Grp	
Item 6			
7.02.23	Admissions Policy	FrDC	
Item 7	Look into the recent consultation		
	process.		
7.02.23	Admissions mtg Minutes to next	НВ	1
Item 7	FGB		
7.02.23	Finance mtg Minutes to next	НВ	
Item 7	FGB		
		I	1